



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/557,708	04/25/2000	Christopher A. S. Gage	RSW9-2000-0039-US1	8638

7590 03/18/2005

STEVEN M GREENBERG
CHRISTOPHER & WEISBERG P. A.
200 EAST LAS OLAS BOULEVARD-SUITE 2040
FORT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301

EXAMINER

BAUGH, APRIL L

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2141

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/557,708

Applicant(s)

GAGE ET AL.

Examiner

April L Baugh

Art Unit

2141

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Applicant amended claims 1, 7, 9, 10, 12, 18, 20, and 22, therefore claims 1-27 are now pending.

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 7, 10, 12, 18, 20, and 22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Drawings

2. The drawings were received on June 28, 2004. These drawings are accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claim 1, 2, 6, 7, 12, 13, 17, 18, 22, 23, and 27 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,076,108 to Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. (US 6,041,357) and further in view of Smith (US 5,835,724).

Regarding claim 1, Courts et al. teaches a method of establishing a persistent relationship between an end user device and a server where the server is one of a plurality of servers managed

Art Unit: 2141

by a dispatcher (column 3, lines 5-7 of Courts et al.) and the end user device accesses the server using a uniform resource locator (URL) (column 5, lines 66-67 of Courts et al.), the method comprising the steps of: receiving, at the dispatcher, a request for information from the end user device; determining, by the dispatcher, which of the plurality of servers to select for satisfying the request (column 3, lines 5-7 and column 5, lines 66-67 of Courts et al.); said key for accessing a storage area for information regarding the persistent relationship and the end user device (column 1, lines 45-50 of Courts et al.).

Courts et al. does not teach of creating a token. Kunzelman et al. teaches creating, at the selected server, a token comprising at least an identifier for the selected server, a date/time stamp, and a key, inserting the token into the URL; and, sending, by the selected server to the client device, a response with the token inserted into the URL (column 2, lines 55-57 and column 4, lines 33-49 and column 4, line 64 through column 5, line 9 and column 6, lines 43-45). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method for maintaining a state for a user session using a global session server by Courts et al. by creating a token because this notifies the system of the type of encryption information to use to encrypt the packet.

Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. does not teach accessing a server-side storage area for information regarding the persistent relationship and the end user device. Smith teaches accessing a server-side storage area for information regarding the persistent relationship and the end user device (abstract, column 1, lines 53-65, column 3, lines 30-45, column 4, lines 8-33, column 6, lines 16-40, column 12, lines 11-31, column 15, lines 48-60, and column 16, lines 15-24). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the

Art Unit: 2141

invention was made to further modify the method for maintaining a state for a user session using a global session server by Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. by accessing a server-side storage area for information regarding the persistent relationship and the end user device because this allows a client to later access a server and continue a previous session based off of the stored session information.

Referring to claim 7, Courts et al. teaches a method of routing a request by an end user device to a particular one of a plurality of redundant servers residing behind a network dispatching mechanism (column 3, lines 5-7 and column 6, line 5 of Courts et al.), said methods comprising the steps of: receiving, at the network dispatching mechanism, a request for information indicated by a uniform resource locator (URL) (column 5, lines 66-67 of Courts et al.); further determining, at the network dispatching mechanism, if a session binding is old (column 6, line 45 of Courts et al.); forwarding, by said network dispatching mechanism, the request, including the URL, to the particular server (column 3, lines 5-7 and column 6, line 5 of Courts et al.); removing, by said particular server, said valid routing information from the URL; storing, by said particular server, where said valid routing information can be accessed subsequently by an outbound data stream filter during the processing of an outbound reply related to said request (column 1, lines 48-53 of Courts et al.); accessing, by said particular server, a storage location where information regarding a session between the particular server and the end user device is stored (column 1, lines 52-55 of Courts et al.).

Courts et al. does not teach of tokens and inserting, by said particular server, said session information into said request. Kunzelman et al. teaches determining, at the network dispatching mechanism, if said URL contains a valid routing token; if said URL contains a valid routing

Art Unit: 2141

token and said routing token is not old, forwarding the request, including the URL, to the particular server indicated by said valid routing token (column 2, lines 34-44 and 55-56); and accessing, by said particular server, a storage location where information regarding a session between the particular server and the end user device is stored; and inserting, by said particular server, said accessed session information into said request (column 5, lines 38-61 and column 6, lines 43-51). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method for maintaining a state for a user session using a global session server by Courts et al. by having a routing token and inserting, by said particular server, said session information into said request because this notifies the system of the type of encryption information to use to encrypt the packet and the validity of the packet and the server knows what type of information the server is authorized or prefers to receive.

Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. does not teach accessing, by said particular server, a server-side storage location where session information regarding a session between the particular server and the end user device is stored. Smith teaches accessing, by said particular server, a server-side storage location where session information regarding a session between the particular server and the end user device is stored (abstract, column 1, lines 53-65, column 3, lines 30-45, column 4, lines 8-33, column 6, lines 16-40, column 12, lines 11-31, column 15, lines 48-60, and column 16, lines 15-24). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method for maintaining a state for a user session using a global session server by Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. by accessing a server-side storage area for information regarding the persistent

Art Unit: 2141

relationship and the end user device because this allows a client to later access a server and continue a previous session based off of the stored session information.

Regarding claim 12, Courts et al. teaches a computer program product having computer readable code means (column 1, lines 18-20 of Courts et al.) of establishing a persistent relationship between an end user device and a server where the server is one of a plurality of servers managed by a dispatcher (column 3, lines 5-7 of Courts et al.) and the end user device accesses the server using a uniform resource locator (URL) (column 5, lines 66-67 of Courts et al.), the computer program product comprising: computer readable code means of receiving, at the dispatcher, a request for information from the end user device; computer readable code means of determining, by the dispatcher, which of the plurality of servers to select for satisfying the request (column 3, lines 5-7 and column 5, lines 66-67 of Courts et al.); said key for accessing a storage area for information regarding the persistent relationship and the end user device (column 1, lines 45-50 of Courts et al.).

Courts et al. does not teach of creating a token. Kunzelman et al. teaches computer readable code means of creating, at the selected server, a token comprising at least an identifier for the selected server, a date/time stamp, and a key, computer readable code means of inserting the token into the URL; and, computer readable code means of sending, by the selected server to the client device, a response with the token inserted into the URL (column 2, lines 55-57 and column 4, lines 33-49 and column 4, line 64 through column 5, line 9 and column 6, lines 43-45). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method for maintaining a state for a user session using

Art Unit: 2141

a global session server by Courts et al. by creating a token because this notifies the system of the type of encryption information to use to encrypt the packet.

Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. does not teach accessing a server-side storage area for information regarding the persistent relationship and the end user device. Smith teaches accessing a server-side storage area for information regarding the persistent relationship and the end user device (abstract, column 1, lines 53-65, column 3, lines 30-45, column 4, lines 8-33, column 6, lines 16-40, column 12, lines 11-31, column 15, lines 48-60, and column 16, lines 15-24). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method for maintaining a state for a user session using a global session server by Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. by accessing a server-side storage area for information regarding the persistent relationship and the end user device because this allows a client to later access a server and continue a previous session based off of the stored session information.

Regarding claim 18, Courts et al. teaches a computer program product having computer readable program code (column 1, lines 18-20 of Courts et al.) for routing a request by an end user device to a particular one of a plurality of redundant servers residing behind a network dispatching mechanism (column 3, lines 5-7 and column 6, line 5 of Courts et al.), said program product comprising: computer readable program code for receiving, at the network dispatching mechanism, a request for information indicated by a uniform resource locator (URL) (column 5, lines 66-67 of Courts et al.); computer readable program code for determining, at the network dispatching mechanism, if a session binding indicated by said routing token is old (column 6, line 45 of Courts et al.); computer readable program code for forwarding, by said network

Art Unit: 2141

dispatching mechanism, the request, including the URL, to the particular server (column 3, lines 5-7 and column 6, line 5 of Courts et al.); computer readable program code for removing, by said particular server, said valid routing information from the URL; computer readable program code for storing, by said particular server, where said valid routing information can be accessed subsequently by an outbound data stream filter during the processing of an outbound reply related to said request (column 1, lines 48-53 of Courts et al.); computer readable program code for accessing, by said particular server, a storage location where information regarding a session between the particular server and the end user device is stored (column 1, lines 52-55 of Courts et al.).

Courts et al. does not teach of tokens and computer readable program code for inserting, by said particular server, said session information into said request. Kunzelman et al. teaches computer readable program code for determining, at the network dispatching mechanism, if said URL contains a valid routing token if said URL contains a valid routing token and said routing token is not old, computer readable program code for forwarding, by said network dispatching mechanism, the request, including the URL, to the particular server indicated by said valid routing token (column 2, lines 34-44 and 55-56); and computer readable program code for accessing, by said particular server, a storage location where information regarding a session between the particular server and the end user device is stored; and computer readable program code for inserting, by said particular server, said accessed session information into said request (column 5, lines 38-61 and column 6, lines 43-51). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method for maintaining a state for a user session using a global session server by Courts et al. by having a

Art Unit: 2141

routing token and computer readable program code for inserting, by said particular server, said session information into said request because this notifies the system of the type of encryption information to use to encrypt the packet and the validity of the packet and the server knows what type of information the server is authorized or prefers to receive.

Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. does not teach accessing, by said particular server, a server-side storage location where session information regarding a session between the particular server and the end user device is stored. Smith teaches accessing, by said particular server, a server-side storage location where session information regarding a session between the particular server and the end user device is stored (abstract, column 1, lines 53-65, column 3, lines 30-45, column 4, lines 8-33, column 6, lines 16-40, column 12, lines 11-31, column 15, lines 48-60, and column 16, lines 15-24). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method for maintaining a state for a user session using a global session server by Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. by accessing a server-side storage area for information regarding the persistent relationship and the end user device because this allows a client to later access a server and continue a previous session based off of the stored session information.

Referring to claim 22, Courts et al. teaches a network dispatcher for establishing a persistent relationship between an end user device and a server where the server is one of a plurality of servers managed by said network dispatcher (column 3, lines 5-7 of Courts et al.), said network dispatcher comprising: means for receiving a request for information from said end user device, said request for information including a uniform resource locator (URL) (column 5, lines 66-67 of Courts et al.); means for determining which of the plurality of servers to select for

Art Unit: 2141

satisfying said request for information (column 3, lines 5-7 and column 5, lines 66-67 of Courts et al.); said key for accessing a storage area for information regarding the persistent relationship and the end user device (column 1, lines 45-50 of Courts et al.).

Courts et al. does not teach of creating a token. Kunzelman et al. teaches means for creating, at said selected server, a token comprising at least an identifier for the selected server, a date/time stamp, and a key, means for inserting the token into the URL; and means for sending, by the selected server, a response with the token inserted into the URL to the client device (column 2, lines 55-57 and column 4, lines 33-49 and column 4, line 64 through column 5, line 9 and column 6, lines 43-45). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method for maintaining a state for a user session using a global session server by Courts et al. by creating a token because this notifies the system of the type of encryption information to use to encrypt the packet.

Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. does not teach accessing a server-side storage area for information regarding the persistent relationship and the end user device. Smith teaches accessing a server-side storage area for information regarding the persistent relationship and the end user device (abstract, column 1, lines 53-65, column 3, lines 30-45, column 4, lines 8-33, column 6, lines 16-40, column 12, lines 11-31, column 15, lines 48-60, and column 16, lines 15-24). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method for maintaining a state for a user session using a global session server by Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. by accessing a server-side storage area for information regarding the persistent relationship and the end user device because

Art Unit: 2141

this allows a client to later access a server and continue a previous session based off of the stored session information.

Referring to claim 2, 13, and 23, Courts et al. teaches a method [a computer program product and a network dispatcher] as claimed in claim 1, 12, and 22 (column 3, lines 5-7 of Courts et al.).

Courts et al. does not teach of encoding tokens using a modified Base64 encoding. Kunzelman et al. teaches wherein said token is encoded using a modified Base64 encoding (column 6, lines 58-59 of Kunzelman et al.). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method for maintaining a state for a user session using a global session server by Courts et al. by encoding tokens using a modified Base64 encoding because this Base64 is one of many encryption methods.

Regarding claim 6, 17, and 27, Courts et al. teaches a method [a computer program product and a network dispatcher] as claimed in claim 1, 12, and 22 wherein said information regarding the persistent relationship is stored as a cookie on said server (column 5, lines 50-52 of Courts et al.).

3. Claim 3-5, 14-16, and 24-26 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,076,108 to Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. (US 6,041,357) and Smith(US 5,835,724) as applied to claim 1, 2, 6, 7, 12, 13, 17, 18, 22, 23, and 27 above, and further in view of Vanstone et al (US 6,490,682).

Art Unit: 2141

Regarding claim 3, 14, and 24, Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. and Smith a method [a computer program product and a network dispatcher] as claimed in claim 1, 12, and 22 and tokens (column 3, lines 5-7 of Courts et al.).

Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. and Smith does not teach checksum or hash verification field. Vanstone et al. teaches wherein said token has a checksum or hash verification field (column 2, lines 54-58 of Vanstone et al.). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method for maintaining a state for a user session using a global session server by Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. and Smith by having checksum or hash verification field because this helps in identifying the client.

Referring to claim 4, 15, and 25, Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. and Smith teaches a method [a computer program product and a network dispatcher] as claimed in claim 3, 14, and 24 (column 3, lines 5-7 of Courts et al.).

Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. and Smith does not teach said hash is a SHA-1 hash. Vanstone et al. teaches wherein said hash is a SHA-1 hash computed over said identifier for said selected server, said date/time stamp, and said key (column 2, lines 40-41 of Vanstone et al.). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method for maintaining a state for a user session using a global session server by Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. and Smith by having said hash is a SHA-1 hash because this helps in identifying the client and SHA-1 is a type of hash function.

Art Unit: 2141

Referring to claim 5, 16, and 26, Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. and Smith teaches a method [a computer program product and a network dispatcher] as claimed in claim 3, 14, and 24 and Base64 encoding (column 3, lines 5-7 of Courts et al.).

Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. and Smith does not teach of checksum or hash. Vanstone et al. teaches wherein said checksum or hash (column 2, lines 56-58 of Vanstone et al.) is encoded. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method for maintaining a state for a user session using a global session server by Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. and Smith by having checksum or hash because this helps in identifying the client.

4. Claim 8, 9, and 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,076,108 to Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. and Smith (US 6,041,357) as applied to claim 1, 2, 6, 7, 12, 13, 17, 18, 22, 23, and 27 above, and further in view of Colby et al (US 6,006,264).

Regarding claim 8 and 19, Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. and Smith teaches the method [The computer program product] as claimed in claim 7 and 18 and the URL (column 5, lines 66-67 of Courts et al.).

Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. and Smith does not teach of filtering. Colby et al. teaches wherein additional filtering of the URL is done prior to the forwarding step (column 12, lines 6-7 of Colby et al.). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method for maintaining a state for a user session using a global session server by Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. and

Art Unit: 2141

Smith by filtering because this helps to authenticate the information more and also to retrieve more needed information from the packet.

Regarding claim 9, Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. and Smith teaches a method as claimed in claim 1 and the dispatcher (column 3, lines 5-7 of Courts et al.).

Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. and Smith does not teach of filtering. Colby et al. wherein all filtering (column 12, lines 6-7 of Colby et al.) is performed within the dispatcher. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method for maintaining a state for a user session using a global session server by Courts et al. in view of Kunzelman et al. and Smith by filtering because this helps to authenticate the information more and also to retrieve more needed information from the packet.

5. Claim 10 and 20 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,041,357 to Kunzelman et al. in view of Courts et al. (US 6,076,108) and further in view of Smith(US 5,835,724) and Lund et al (Us 6,760,758).

Regarding claim 10, Kunzelman et al. teaches a method of sending information to a requesting end user from an application over a session wherein said application resides at one of a plurality of redundant servers, said method comprising the steps of: receiving response information from said application, said response information including a URL (uniform resource locator) (column 2, lines 35-44 and 55-56 and column 5, lines 1-14 and 25-37); determining if a key cookie has been used for storing session information between said end user and said application; if a key cookie has been used for storing session information, retrieving a session key from said key cookie; if a key cookie was not used for storing session information, retrieving

Art Unit: 2141

said session key from a control block removing all cookies from said response information; storing said removed cookies in a predetermined storage area; updating a date/time stamp in said sticky routing string inserting said sticky routing string into said URL; and transmitting said response information, including said URL, to said end user (column 2, lines 55-57 and column 5, lines 38-62 and column 6, lines 43-57).

Kunzelman et al. does not teach a network dispatcher or a sticky routing string. Courts et al. does teach redundant servers residing behind a network dispatcher (column 3, lines 5-7 of Courts et al.) updating said URL to indicate the removal of said cookies (column 1, lines 55-56 of Courts et al.); creating a sticky routing string (column 6, lines 6-8 of Courts et al.). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method for dynamic use and validation of http cookies for authentication of Kunzelman et al. by having a network dispatcher or a sticky routing string because a dispatcher is needed to pass the packet to the server and sticky routing strings to help route request from the same client to the same server.

Kunzelman et al. in view of Courts et al. does not teach predetermined server-side storage area. Smith teaches predetermined server-side storage area (abstract, column 1, lines 53-65, column 3, lines 30-45, column 4, lines 8-33, column 6, lines 16-40, column 12, lines 11-31, column 15, lines 48-60, and column 16, lines 15-24). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method for dynamic use and validation of http cookies for authentication of Kunzelman et al. in view of Courts et al. by having a predetermined server-side storage because this allows a client to later access a server and continue a previous session based off of the stored session information.

Kunzelman et al. in view of Courts et al. and further in view of Smith does not teach server-side key cookie. Lund et al. teaches a server-side key cookie (abstract, column 1, lines 41-46 and column 1, line 65-column 2, line 1 and column 7, line 56-column 8, line 5 and column 8, lines 12-13 and 26-31 and 58-67). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method for dynamic use and validation of http cookies for authentication of Kunzelman et al. in view of Courts et al. and further in view of Smith by having a server-side key cookie because this allows the user to utilize multiple client devices in the same client-server session.

Referring to claim 20, Kunzelman et al. teaches a computer program product having computer readable program means sending information to a requesting end user from an application over a session wherein said application resides at one of a plurality of redundant servers, said computer program product comprising: computer readable programming means of receiving response information from said application, said response information including a URL (uniform resource locator) (column 2, lines 35-44 and 55-56 and column 5, lines 1-14 and 25-37); computer readable programming means of determining if a key cookie has been used for storing session information between said end user and said application; if a key cookie has been used for storing session information, computer readable programming means of retrieving a session key from said key cookie; if a key cookie was not used for storing session information, computer readable programming means of retrieving said session key from a control block; computer readable programming means of removing all cookies from said response information; computer readable programming means of storing said removed cookies in a predetermined storage area; computer readable programming means of updating a date/time stamp in said sticky

Art Unit: 2141

routing string; computer readable programming means of inserting said sticky routing string into said URL; and computer readable programming means of transmitting said response information, including said URL, to said end user (column 2, lines 55-57 and column 5, lines 38-62 and column 6, lines 43-57).

Kunzelman et al. does not teach a network dispatcher or a sticky routing string. Courts et al. does teach redundant servers residing behind a network dispatcher (column 3, lines 5-7 of Courts et al.) computer readable programming means of updating said URL to indicate the removal of said cookies (column 1, lines 55-56 of Courts et al.); computer readable programming means of creating a sticky routing string (column 6, lines 6-8 of Courts et al.). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method for dynamic use and validation of http cookies for authentication by Kunzelman et al. by having a network dispatcher or a sticky routing string because a dispatcher is needed to pass the packet to the server and sticky routing strings to help route request from the same client to the same server.

Kunzelman et al. in view of Courts et al. does not teach predetermined server-side storage area. Smith teaches predetermined server-side storage area (abstract, column 1, lines 53-65, column 3, lines 30-45, column 4, lines 8-33, column 6, lines 16-40, column 12, lines 11-31, column 15, lines 48-60, and column 16, lines 15-24). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method for dynamic use and validation of http cookies for authentication of Kunzelman et al. in view of Courts et al. by having a predetermined server-side storage because this allows a client to later access a server and continue a previous session based off of the stored session information.

Art Unit: 2141

Kunzelman et al. in view of Courts et al. and further in view of Smith does not teach server-side key cookie. Lund et al. teaches a server-side key cookie (abstract, column 1, lines 41-46 and column 1, line 65-column 2, line 1 and column 7, line 56-column 8, line 5 and column 8, lines 12-13 and 26-31 and 58-67). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method for dynamic use and validation of http cookies for authentication of Kunzelman et al. in view of Courts et al. and further in view of Smith by having a server-side key cookie because this allows the user to utilize multiple client devices in the same client-server session.

6. Claim 11 and 21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,374, 359 to Kunzelman et al. in view of Courts et al. (US 6,076,108) and further in view of Smith(US 5,835,724) and Lund et al (Us 6,760,758) as applied to claim10 and 20 above, and further in view of Colby et al (US 6,006,264).

Regarding claim 11 and 21, Kunzelman et al. in view of Courts et al. and further in view of Smith and Lund et al. teaches a method [a computer program product] as claimed in claim 10 and 20 and said response information (column 1, lines 53-55 of Courts et al.).

Kunzelman et al. in view of Courts et al. and further in view of Smith and Lund et al. does not teach of filtering. Colby et al. wherein, prior to said determining step, said response information is transmitted from said application through one or more filters (column 12, lines 6-7 of Colby et al.). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the method for dynamic use and validation of http cookies for authentication by Kunzelman et al. in view of Courts et al. and further in view of

Art Unit: 2141

Smith and Lund et al. by filtering because this helps to authenticate the information more and also to retrieve more needed information from the packet.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following patent is cited to further show the state of the art with respect to URL based sticky routing tokens in general: Bellmore et al., Okamoto et al., Devine et al., Phaal, Danner et al., and Grantges, Jr. et al.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.


Art Unit: 2141

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to April L Baugh whose telephone number is 571-272-3877. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rupal Dharia can be reached on 571-272-3880. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALB


RUPAL DHARIA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER